## Integration, resumption and adjunction: The relation between Early New High German preposed adverbial clauses and their host

Barthe Bloom, Universität Potsdam, bloom@uni-potsdam.de

This study investigates the use of preposed adverbial clauses in Early New High German, and sheds new light on the relation between adverbial clauses and the sentences that host them.

In Early New High German, preposed adverbial clauses were found in three different constructions: i) with an argument in-between the clause and the finite verb of the host (1), ii) with a resumptive element that follows the adverbial clause (2), or iii) in a position directly adjacent to the finite verb (3).

- (1) Da der swartz ritter das gewar wart, er greiff yne mit dem helm
  then the black knight that noticed was he grabbed him with the helmet
  'And when the black knight noticed that, he grabbed him by the helmet.' (Pontus)
- (2) vnd da alle ding bereyt waren da gieng sie zů dem Peter and then all things ready were then went they to the Peter 'And when all things were ready, she went to Peter.' (Magelone)
- (3) und als er geessen het rûfft er Lüpoldo
  and when he eaten had called he Lüpoldo
  'And when he had eaten, he called Lüpoldo.'
  (Fortunatus)

In previous literature, each of the patterns above have been taken as signals of their degree of integration (e.g., Axel 2004, Lötscher 2006): The adverbial clause in (1) is simply juxtaposed, while (3) is fully integrated as a constituent within the host sentence. Adverbial clauses that are taken up by a resumptive like *da* 'then' or *so* 'so' illustrate an intermediate step (2) (e.g., König and van der Auwera 1988).

Seeing that these three constructions seem to form such a continuum, this study asks the question whether and how these constructions are paradigmatically related (e.g., Neels and Hartmann 2022). By doing so, this study aims to shed more light on the connection between adverbial clause and their host sentence.

It is hypothesized that the function associated with the integration of adverbial clauses is partially determined by its relation to the resumptive and non-integrated constructions and partially by the adverbial clauses that occur within the constructions. This is tested on a data set of 1500 clauses from seven Early New High German narratives: Pontus (ca. 1450), Melusine (1474), Wigalois (1519), Wilhelm (1481), Tristrant (1484), Fortunatus (1509), Magelone (1535).

The study shows how adverbial clauses differ in their connection to their host: E.g., specific conjunctions are primarily associated with one of the constructions. For example, adverbial clauses that are introduced by *als* 'if, when, like' prefer integration (3), while *ob*-clauses –which primarily have a conditional or concessive function– are typically resumed.

Moreover, the different constructions are hypothesized to serve different narrative structuring functions. So are resumptive structures with da associated with temporal progression of the main story, while those with so are more prevalent in dialogues, which are characterized by isochrony (Packard, 2008), and they differ in the narrative mood they are associated with (Genette, 1983). It is expected that the other two constructions are contrastive in a similar way.

## **Primary sources**

**Fortunatus** (1509). Schmitt, L. E. & R. Noll-Wiemann (eds.). *Fortunatus: von Fortunato und seynem Seckel auch Wünschhütlein / mit einem Vorwort von Renate Noll-Wiemann.* Hildesheim/New York: Georg Olms Verlag.

**Magelone** (1535). Müller, J.-D. (ed). 1990. Romane des 15. und 16. Jahrhunderts. Nach den Erstdrucken mit sämtlichen Holzschnitten, pp. 587–678. Frankfurt am Main: Deutscher Klassiker Verlag.

**Melusine** (1474). Müller, J.-D. (ed). 1990. *Romane des 15. und 16. Jahrhunderts. Nach den Erstdrucken mit sämtlichen Holzschnitten*, pp. 9–176. Frankfurt am Main: Deutscher Klassiker Verlag.

**Pontus** (1450--1476). Schneider, Karin (ed.). 1961. *Pontus und Sidonia: in der Verdeutschung eines Ungenannten aus dem 15. Jahrhundert.* Berlin: Erich Schmidt Verlag.

**Tristrant** (1484). Elsner, H. (ed.). 1989. *Tristan und Isolde (Augsburg bei Antonius Sorg 1484) mit einem Nachw. von Helga Elsner.* Hildesheim/New York: Georg Olms Verlag.

**Wigalois** (1519). Melzer, H. (ed). 1973. *Wigalois / mit eine Vorw. von Helmut Melzer.* Hildesheim/New York: Georg Ims Verlag.

**Wilhelm** (1481&491). Podleiszek, F. (ed). 1936. *Volksbücher von Weltweite und Abenteuerlust / bearbeitet von Dr. Franz Podleiszek*, pp. 191–284. Leipzig: Verlag von Philipp Reclam jun.

## References

Axel, K. (2004). The syntactic integration of preposed adverbial clauses on the German left periphery: A diachronic perspective. In H. Lohnstein and S. Trissler (Eds.), *The Syntax and Semantics of the Left Periphery*, pp. 23–58. Berlin/New York: Mouton de Gruyter.

Genette, G. (1983). *Narrative discourse: An essay in method*, Volume 25. Ithaca/New York: Cornell University Press.

König, E. and J. van der Auwera (1988). Clause integration in German and Dutch conditionals, concessive conditionals, and concessives. In J. Haiman and S. Thompson (Eds.), *Clause combining in grammar and discourse*, pp. 101–133. Amsterdam/Philadelphia: John Benjamins Publishing Company.

Lötscher, A. (2006). Linksperiphere Adverbialsätze in der Geschichte des Deutschen. Pragmatische Aspekte eines grammatischen Wandels. *Beiträge zur Geschichte der deutschen Sprache und Literatur (PBB)* 127(3), 347–376.

Neels, J. and S. Hartmann (2022). Grammaticalisation, schematisation and paradigmaticisation: How they intersect in the development of German degree modifiers. In G. Diewald and K. Politt (Eds.), *Paradigms regained: Theoretical and empirical arguments for the reassessment of the notion of paradigm.*, Chapter 9, pp. 267–296. Berlin: Language Science Press.

Packard, S. (2008). Two-dimensional narrative velocity. Journal of Literary Semantics 37(1), 55–67.