

Lexical-specific nature of syntax: Hypothetical manner constructions and Filler-Slot Relations

Jesús Francisco Olguín Martínez & Stefan Th. Gries, Humboldt University Berlin & UC Santa Barbara/JLU Giessen, olguinmartinez@ucsb.edu & stgries@linguistics.ucsb.edu

The distinction between lexicon and syntax has played an important role in linguistic theory. For instance, in the generative approach, lexical expressions are freely inserted under the terminal nodes of syntactic phrase structure trees (Diessel & Hilpert 2016). However, the dichotomy of lexicon vs. syntax and their presumed independence has long been challenged in particular by work in the framework of Construction Grammar (e.g., Goldberg 1995). Such work has proposed that the co-occurrence patterns of lexemes and constructions is functionally motivated; for instance, verbs occur in some construction's slot especially if the verb's function/meaning is compatible with that of the construction (Goldberg 1995:50; Gries & Stefanowitsch 2004:99), which gives rise to a joint distribution of lexemes in constructions that are known in the literature as 'Filler-Slot Relations' (see Diessel 2019: 20). In a usage-based framework, such probabilistic associations constitute part of each language user's individual and ever-changing exemplar-based representation of linguistic knowledge (Beckner et al. 2009), which is why our general understanding of linguistic knowledge but also our particular understanding of specific constructions benefits from the study of such associations between constructional slots and lexemes filling them (Stefanowitsch & Gries 2003).

The present study applies this logic to the analysis of Filler-Slot Relations by exploring Hypothetical Manner Constructions (HMCs) in Mexican Spanish (e.g. *parece como si estuviera borracho* 'it looks as if he were drunk'; Olguín Martínez 2021). Our analysis is based on 1362 instances of six constructional schemas (with NPs as locatives or non-locatives; see Appendix) from The Corpus del Español NOW corpus (News on the Web). While we know that the first slot in such HMCs can be filled by a variety of different verbs (e.g., *sentir* 'to feel', *es* 'it is', *ver* 'to look', *comportar* 'to behave', *actuar* 'to act', *sonar* 'to sound', and *parecer* 'to seem', see Olguín Martínez 2022), much less is known about both the specific association patterns between these constructions and the verbs they take and, more importantly, what such patterns reveal about these constructions' functions (which in turn can inform analyses of their acquisitional paths, and processing).

We are currently finalizing the application of a revised version of distinctive collexeme analysis (Gries & Stefanowitsch 2004) to our concordance data, specifically a newly-developed kind of analysis that adds the equivalent of confidence intervals to the collocation strengths usually reported, and does that in a way that also helps assess the dispersion of the filler-slot types across the corpus. This is a major improvement of existing work because, while much usage-based work has happily made connections to many aspects of domain-general cognition such as frequency, exposure, learning, and contingency, most collocation work has narrowly focused on frequency as the main tool of quantification – the present study, however, offers a wider coverage of cognitively relevant notions/mechanisms. It is expected that this revised version of distinctive collexeme analysis will enable us to assess all the dimensions of collocation attraction or repulsion of HMCs, and other constructions, in a more theoretical/explanatory way.

References

- Beckner, C. et al. 2009. Language is a complex adaptive system: Position paper. *Language Learning* 59 (Suppl 1). 1-26.
- Bybee, J. 2010. *Language, cognition, and usage*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Diessel, H. 2019. *The grammar network. How linguistic structure is shaped by language use*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Diessel, H. & M. Hilpert. 2016. Frequency effects in grammar. In Mark Aronoff (ed.), *Oxford Research Encyclopedia of Linguistics*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Goldberg, A.E. 1995. *Constructions: A construction grammar approach to argument structure*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Gries, St.Th. & A. Stefanowitsch. 2004. Extending collocation analysis: A corpus-based perspectives on 'alternations'. *International Journal of Corpus Linguistics* 9(1). 97-129.
- Olguín Martínez, J. 2021. Hypothetical manner constructions in world-wide perspective. *Journal of Linguistic typology at the crossroads* 1. 2-33.
- Olguín Martínez, J. 2022. Hypothetical manner in Spanish. Handout of paper presented at the University of Sonora colloquium. Hermosillo, Mexico.
- Stefanowitsch, A. & St.Th. Gries. 2003. Collocations: investigating the interaction between words and constructions. *International Journal of Corpus Linguistics* 8(2). 209-243.